# Wilmette Junior High School Wilmette SD 39 Wilmette, ILLINOIS

**GRADES: 78** 



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

### **STUDENTS**

RACIAL/I	ETHNIC E	BACKGR	OUND AND	OTHER	INFORMA	TION									
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	79.1	0.9	4.7	10.4	0.0	0.0	4.9	2.2	0.7	14.0		0.0	3.0	96.0	814
District	79.0	0.5	4.5	10.3	0.0	0.1	5.7	2.6	2.4	12.9		0.0	3.2	96.0	3,679
State	51.0	18.0	23.6	4.2	0.1	0.3	2.8	49.0	9.4	13.6		8.6	13.1	94.4	2,066,692

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. **IEP** Students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. **Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

Total Enrollment is based on Home School.

## **INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

PARENTAL CONTACT*										
Percent										
100.0										
100.0										
95.3										

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS												
Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator									
16.3		11.3	229.9									
18.9		13.7	205.0									

<sup>\*</sup> Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)												
Grades	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12		
School District State								22.4 22.4 21.3	24.3 24.3 21.5			


TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)													
	Ma	Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science					
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School			40			40			80			40	
District			40			40			80			40	
State			54			46			92			44	


TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)  Native													
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Two or More Races	Unknown	Male	Female	Total Number					
District State	99.2 83.3	0.0 7.1	0.4 5.3	0.4 1.3	0.0 0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0 2.0	12.5 23.1	87.5 76.9	275 127,830			

TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION ( Continued )												
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers								
School				1.4	0.0								
District	11.5	22.2	77.8	1.6	0.0								
State	12.9	37.8	61.7	0.6	0.7								

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

# SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Local Property Taxes	\$37,718,760	81.8	58.2								
Other Local Funding	\$3,485,730	7.6	5.1								
General State Aid	\$1,309,898	2.8	17.1								
Other State Funding	\$2,357,837	5.1	9.5								
Federal Funding	\$1,250,541	2.7	10.1								
TOTAL	\$46,122,766										

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Education	\$38,981,064	76.6	73.7								
Operations & Maintenance	\$3,667,571	7.2	5.9								
Transportation	\$958,556	1.9	3.8								
Debt Service	\$2,049,550	4.0	7.4								
Tort	\$825,761	1.6	1.2								
Municipal Retirement/											
Social Security	\$1,497,087	2.9	2.0								
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$2,918,138	5.7	0.8								
Capital Projects	\$0	0.0	5.1								
TOTAL	\$50,897,727										

OTHER FINA	OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS											
	2009 Equalized	2009 Total School	2010-11 Instructional	2010-11 Operating								
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure								
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil								
District	\$663,959	1.72	\$8,248	\$13,220								
State	**	**	\$6,824	\$11,664								

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.


### **ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE**

#### **OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.





Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.



#### **ISAT PERFORMANCE**

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.





### PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING														
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	813	386	427	644	6	38	85	0	0	40	6	0	112	19
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	2,505	1,214	1,291	1,982	14	109	260	0	1	139	29	0	334	84
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
	*Enrollment	1,072,304	548,690	523,352	547,900	192,977	251,440	45,188	977	3,177	29,968	75,031	261	146,113	531,157
State	Reading	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	2.3	0.9	0.5

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	NTAGE OF ST	TUDENTS I	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR I	MATHEMA	ATICS						
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	813	386	427	644	6	38	85	0	0	40	6	0	112	19
School	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	2,504	1,214	1,290	1,981	14	109	260	0	1	139	29	0	334	84
	Mathematics	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,073,764	549,462	524,040	548,234	193,064	252,013	45,638	983	3,180	29,975	76,502	271	146,133	532,214
State -	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.5

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR S	SCIENCE							
			Ge	nder		R	acial/Ethni	c Backgro	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	399	191	208	325								0	59	10
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	859	413	446	687	4	34	87	0	0	47	9	0	104	26
	Science	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0			0.0			1.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	456,721	232,992	223,651	237,912	81,780	103,594	19,211	393	1,359	12,254	25,859	107	61,941	217,988
	Science	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.4	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.6	1.0

<sup>\*</sup> Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply Level 1 -- Academic Warning knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards -Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills Level 3 -- Meets Standards to solve problems.
- Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards to solve problems and evaluate the results.

#### Grade 7

#### Grade 7 - All

	_						_							
		Rea	ding			Mathe	matics			Scie	nce			
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
School	0.0	2.8	50.9	46.3	0.3	2.5	38.3	58.9	0.8	1.0	39.7	58.5		
District	0.0	2.8	50.9	46.3	0.3	2.5	38.3	58.9	0.8	1.0	39.7	58.5		
State	0.4	21.5	58.0	20.1	1.4	14.0	53.5	31.1	8.6	11.6	54.6	25.3		

Grad	le 7	Œ	enc	ler

			Rea	ading			Mathe	matics			Scie	ence	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	0.0	3.7	57.9	38.4	0.0	3.7	37.0	59.3	0.5	2.1	38.4	58.9
	District	0.0	3.7	57.9	38.4	0.0	3.7	37.0	59.3	0.5	2.1	38.4	58.9
	State	0.6	25.0	56.9	17.5	1.8	15.6	51.6	31.0	10.0	11.7	51.3	26.9
Female	School	0.0	2.0	44.4	53.7	0.5	1.5	39.5	58.5	1.0	0.0	41.0	58.0
	District	0.0	2.0	44.4	53.7	0.5	1.5	39.5	58.5	1.0	0.0	41.0	58.0
	State	0.2	17.8	59.3	22.7	1.0	12.3	55.6	31.1	7.0	11.4	58.1	23.5

Grade 7 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics			Scie	ence	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	School	0.0	2.8	49.2	48.0	0.3	2.5	37.8	59.4	0.9	0.9	38.0	60.1
	District	0.0	2.8	49.2	48.0	0.3	2.5	37.8	59.4	0.9	0.9	38.0	60.1
	State	0.2	13.9	58.9	27.0	0.8	9.0	50.8	39.4	4.4	7.1	53.1	35.5
Black	School District State	0.9	36.6	54.6	7.9	2.9	25.9	57.1	14.1	17.4	20.4	54.5	7.7
Hispanic													
•	School	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4
	District	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4
	State	0.5	29.2	59.7	10.7	1.6	17.9	60.7	19.8	12.1	16.1	59.7	12.1
Asian	School District State	0.0 0.0 0.2	2.6 2.6 8.5	60.5 60.5 53.6	36.8 36.8 37.7	0.0 0.0 0.7	0.0 0.0 3.6	34.2 34.2 32.0	65.8 65.8 63.8	0.0 0.0 3.3	0.0 0.0 4.6	47.4 47.4 47.0	52.6 52.6 45.1
Native Haw Islander	saiian/Pacific School District		4-1		05.0	0.0	4.5	1	20.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	05.0
	State	0.0	17.1	57.7	25.2	0.9	4.5	55.4	39.3	8.0	6.3	59.8	25.9
American I	ndian School District State	0.0	23.6	60.1	16.2	1.5	16.2	56.0	26.3	9.1	12.7	58.1	20.1
Tue es Mai		0.0	20.0	00.1	10.2	1.0	10.2	30.0	20.0	J. 1	14.1	30.1	20.1
Two or Moi	School District State	0.0 0.0 0.2	0.0 0.0 18.4	44.4 44.4 57.4	55.6 55.6 24.0	0.0 0.0 1.2	5.6 5.6 12.6	33.3 33.3 52.3	61.1 61.1 33.9	0.0 0.0 7.1	0.0 0.0 10.5	27.8 27.8 51.6	72.2 72.2 30.8

Grade 7 - Students with Disabilities

	Otalao ita									Calamaa				
			Rea	iding			Mather	natics			Scie	nce		
	Levels	1	1 2 3 4				2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	0.0	14.5	78.2	7.3	1.8	18.2	61.8	18.2	3.6	5.5	67.3	23.6	
	District	0.0	14.5	78.2	7.3	1.8	18.2	61.8	18.2	3.6	5.5	67.3	23.6	
	State	2.4	61.0	33.3	3.3	7.7	44.0	42.2	6.1	28.6	24.5	40.8	6.1	
Non-IEP	School	0.0	0.9	46.5	52.6	0.0	0.0	34.5	65.5	0.3	0.3	35.3	64.1	
	District	0.0	0.9	46.5	52.6	0.0	0.0	34.5	65.5	0.3	0.3	35.3	64.1	
	State	0.1	15.8	61.6	22.5	0.5	9.7	55.2	34.7	5.7	9.7	56.6	28.0	

Grade 7 - Economically Disadvantaged

			Reading				Mathe	ematics			Scie	nce	
Leve	ls	1	1 2 3 4				2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lu School District State	nch	0.0 0.0 0.7	0.0 0.0 32.2	50.0 50.0 57.8	50.0 50.0 9.4	0.0 0.0 2.2	10.0 10.0 21.3	50.0 50.0 59.2	40.0 40.0 17.2	0.0 0.0 13.7	0.0 0.0 17.3	40.0 40.0 57.4	60.0 60.0 11.6
Not Eligible School District		0.0 0.0 0.1	2.9 2.9 11.1	50.9 50.9 58.3	46.2 46.2 30.5	0.3 0.3 0.6	2.3 2.3 6.8	38.0 38.0 47.9	59.4 59.4 44.6	0.8 0.8 3.5	1.0 1.0 6.0	39.7 39.7 51.9	58.4 58.4 38.6

# Grade 8

### Grade 8 - All

		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School District State	0.0 0.0 0.1	3.2 3.2 13.6	64.0 64.0 76.1	32.8 32.8 10.1	0.0 0.0 0.3	3.2 3.2 14.7	22.9 22.9 52.4	74.0 74.0 32.6

### Grade 8 - Gender

			Rea	ding			Mather	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	0.0	4.7	62.0	33.3	0.0	3.6	22.4	74.0
	District	0.0	4.7	62.0	33.3	0.0	3.6	22.4	74.0
	State	0.2	17.2	74.3	8.3	0.4	16.8	50.1	32.7
Female	School	0.0	1.8	65.8	32.4	0.0	2.7	23.3	74.0
	District	0.0	1.8	65.8	32.4	0.0	2.7	23.3	74.0
	State	0.1	10.0	78.0	12.0	0.2	12.5	54.7	32.5

## Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White				-					
	School	0.0	1.6	67.5	30.9	0.0	1.9	23.0	75.1
	District	0.0	1.6	67.5	30.9	0.0	1.9	23.0	75.1
	State	0.1	9.1	77.0	13.8	0.2	9.4	48.8	41.5
Black									
	School								
	District		00.0	70.0	0.0	0.7	07.0	50.4	40.7
	State	0.3	23.6	73.3	2.8	0.7	27.6	58.1	13.7
Hispanic			400	05.0	05.0	0.0	40.0	45.0	45.0
	School	0.0	10.0	65.0	25.0	0.0	10.0	45.0	45.0
	District	0.0	10.0	65.0	25.0	0.0	10.0	45.0	45.0
	State	0.1	17.3	77.6	4.9	0.3	18.1	59.7	21.9
Asian		0.0	0.5	F4 4	40.4	0.0	C 4	44.0	70.7
	School	0.0	8.5	51.1	40.4	0.0	6.4	14.9	78.7
	District	0.0	8.5 5.2	51.1 70.1	40.4 24.7	0.0 0.1	6.4 4.7	14.9 32.0	78.7 63.2
	State	0.0	5.2	70.1	24.7	0.1	4.7	32.0	03.2
	aiian/Pacific								
Islander	School								
	District								
	State	0.0	9.6	78.1	12.4	0.6	7.3	50.0	42.1
		0.0	9.0	70.1	12.4	0.0	1.3	30.0	42.1
American Ir	ndian School								
	District								
	State	0.2	19.0	73.4	7.4	1.1	21.0	53.2	24.8
Two or Mor		V. <u>L</u>	10.0	70.7	7.7	1.1	21.0	00.2	27.0
I WU UI WIOT	School	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.0	0.0	13.6	86.4
	District	0.0	0.0	45.5 45.5	54.5 54.5	0.0	0.0	13.6	86.4
	State	0.0	13.5	45.5 74.5	54.5 11.9	0.0	14.9	51.0	33.9
	State	0.1	13.5	74.5	11.9	0.3	14.9	51.0	აა.ყ

## Grade 8 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding			Mather	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
IEP									
	School	0.0	22.0	74.0	4.0	0.0	22.0	56.0	22.0
	District	0.0	22.0	74.0	4.0	0.0	22.0	56.0	22.0
	State	0.9	50.8	47.2	1.1	2.0	50.6	41.6	5.9
Non-IEP									
	School	0.0	0.6	62.6	36.8	0.0	0.6	18.3	81.2
	District	0.0	0.6	62.6	36.8	0.0	0.6	18.3	81.2
	State	0.0	8.3	80.3	11.4	0.1	9.6	53.9	36.4

# 2012 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has th
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2012-1
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2012-1

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?						
2012-13 Federal Improvement Status						
2012-13 State Improvement Status						

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *					Other Indicators				
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading		Mathematics		Attendance Rate		5-YEAR Graduation Rate			
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		85.0			85.0			91.0		82.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	97.0		Yes	96.8		Yes	96.0	Yes		
White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian Two or More Races	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	97.6 96.1		Yes	97.2		Yes				
LEP Students with Disabilities Economically Disadvantaged	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	80.6		Yes	77.7	76.2	Yes	95.0			

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- 1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
- 2. At least 85% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 85% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
- 3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 82% graduation rate for high schools.

<sup>\*</sup> Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2011.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Safe Harbor Targets of 85% or above are not printed.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.